

QUANTIFICATIONS OF STRICTLY SINGULAR OPERATORS AND STRICTLY COSINGULAR OPERATORS

LEI LI AND DONGYANG CHEN

ABSTRACT. We investigate possible quantifications of strictly singular operators, l_p -strictly singular operators, c_0 -strictly singular operators, strictly cosingular operators, l_p -strictly cosingular operators. We prove quantitative, even strengthening versions of well-known results about relationships of these five classes of operators and compact, weakly compact, unconditionally converging operators.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

This paper is motivated by a large number of recent results on quantitative versions of various theorems and properties of Banach spaces. For example, quantitative versions of Krein's theorem were studied in [18], quantitative versions of Eberlein-Šmul'yan and Gantmacher theorems were investigated in [5], a quantitative version of James' compactness theorem in [12], quantifications of weak sequential completeness and of the Schur property in [27] and [29], quantitative Dunford-Pettis property in [25], quantification of the Banach-Saks property in [7], quantification of Pełczyński's property (V) in [31] and [32], quantitative Grothendieck property in [6], etc.

Let us first fix some necessary notations:

If A and B are two nonempty subsets of a Banach space X , we set

$$d(A, B) = \inf\{\|a - b\| : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$\widehat{d}(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B) : a \in A\}.$$

Thus, $d(A, B)$ is the ordinary distance between A and B , and $\widehat{d}(A, B)$ is the non-symmetrized Hausdorff distance from A to B .

Let A be a bounded subset of a Banach space X . The Hausdorff measure of non-compactness of A is defined by

$$\chi(A) = \inf\{\widehat{d}(A, F) : F \subset X \text{ finite}\}.$$

Date: Version: August 29, 2016.

Dongyang Chen's project was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China(No.2015J01026). Lei Li's research is partly supported by the NSF of China (11301285).

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: DONGYANG CHEN

Then $\chi(A) = 0$ if and only if A is relatively norm compact.

An analogue of Hausdorff measure of non-compactness for measuring weak non-compactness is the de Blasi measure of weak non-compactness

$$\omega(A) = \inf\{\widehat{d}(A, K) : \emptyset \neq K \subset X \text{ is weakly compact}\}.$$

Other commonly used quantities measuring weak non-compactness are:

$$wk_X(A) = \widehat{d}(\overline{A}^{w*}, X), \text{ where } \overline{A}^{w*} \text{ denotes the } weak^* \text{ closure of } A \text{ in } X^{**};$$

$$wck_X(A) = \sup\{d(clust_{X^{**}}((x_n)_n), X) : (x_n)_n \text{ is a sequence in } A\}, \text{ where } clust_{X^{**}}((x_n)_n) \\ \text{ is the set of all } weak^* \text{ cluster points of } (x_n)_n \text{ in } X^{**};$$

$$\gamma_X(A) = \sup\{|\lim_n \lim_m \langle x_m^*, x_n \rangle - \lim_m \lim_n \langle x_m^*, x_n \rangle| : (x_n)_n \text{ is a sequence in } A, \\ (x_m^*)_m \text{ is a sequence in } B_{X^*} \text{ and all the involved limits exist}\}.$$

It follows from [5, Theorem 2.3] that

$$(1.1) \quad wck_X(A) \leq wk_X(A) \leq \gamma_X(A) \leq 2wck_X(A),$$

$$wk_X(A) \leq \omega(A).$$

For an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$, $\chi(T), \omega(T), wk_Y(T), wck_Y(T), \gamma_Y(T)$ will denote $\chi(TB_X), \omega(TB_X), wk_Y(TB_X), wck_Y(TB_X)$ and $\gamma_Y(TB_X)$, respectively.

Schauder's theorem states that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if T^* is compact. A quantitative strengthening of Schauder's result was proved by L. S. Gol'denšteĭn and A. S. Markus [21](also see [25]) who established the inequalities as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}\chi(T) \leq \chi(T^*) \leq 2\chi(T).$$

For weak topologies Gantmacher's theorem states that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact if and only if T^* is weakly compact. C. Angosto and B. Cascales([5]) established a quantitative version of Gantmacher's theorem:

$$(1.3) \quad \gamma_Y(T) \leq \gamma_{X^*}(T^*) \leq 2\gamma_Y(T).$$

C. Angosto and B. Cascales([5, Remark 3.3]) pointed out that the corresponding quantitative version to (1.3) where γ is replaced by ω fails for general Banach spaces.

Recall that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *unconditionally converging* if T takes weakly unconditionally Cauchy series in X to unconditionally converging series in Y . A well-known characterization of unconditionally converging operators due to A. Pełczyński [38] is that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is unconditionally converging if and only if T does not fix a c_0 -copy. To quantifying this characterization, H. Krulišová [31] introduced two quantities for

an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ as follows:

$$uc(T) = \sup\{ca((\sum_{i=1}^n Tx_i)_n) : (x_n)_n \subseteq X, \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x^*, x_n \rangle| \leq 1\},$$

$$fix_{c_0}(T) = \sup\{(\|U\|\|V\|)^{-1} : M \text{ is an infinite-dimensional subspace of } X \text{ for which } T|_M \text{ is an isomorphism and } (T|_M)^{-1} = UV \text{ for some surjective isomorphisms}$$

$$U : c_0 \rightarrow M, V : TM \rightarrow c_0\},$$

and proved the following inequality:

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{2}uc(T) \leq fix_{c_0}(T) \leq uc(T).$$

In this paper, we'll concentrate on quantitative, even strengthening versions of classical known results about strictly singular operators, l_p -strictly singular operators (or c_0 -strictly singular operators), strictly cosingular operators and l_p -strictly cosingular operators. Strictly singular operators were introduced by T. Kato [30] in connection with the perturbation theory of Fredholm operators. Recall that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces is called *strictly singular* if it is not an isomorphism when restricted to any infinite-dimensional (closed) subspace of X ; Equivalently, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every infinite-dimensional subspace M of X , there is a $x \in S_M$ such that $\|Tx\| < \epsilon$. A. Pełczyński [38] introduced the concept of strictly cosingular operators as in a sense dual to strictly singular operators. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be strictly cosingular provided that for no infinite-dimensional Banach space Z there exist surjective operators $R : X \rightarrow Z$ and $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $R = ST$; Equivalently, there is no infinite-codimensional subspace N of Y such that $Q_N T$ is surjective, where $Q_N : Y \rightarrow Y/N$ is the quotient map. Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and a Banach space Z , say that T is *Z-strictly singular* provided that there is no infinite-dimensional subspace M of X which is isomorphic to Z for which $T|_M$ is an isomorphism; Equivalently, there is no operator $R : Z \rightarrow X$ for which TR is an isomorphism. Similarly, T is said to be *Z-strictly cosingular* if there is no operator $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ for which ST is surjective.

Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and we denote by $l_p^w(X)$ the space of all weakly p -summable sequences in X , endowed with the norm

$$\|(x_n)_n\|_p^w = \sup\{(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x^*, x_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}, \quad (x_n)_n \in l_p^w(X).$$

A sequence $(x_n)_n \in l_p^w(X)$ is *unconditionally p -summable* if

$$\sup\{(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\langle x^*, x_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} : x^* \in B_{X^*}\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

In [15], we say that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is *unconditionally p -converging* if T takes weakly p -summable sequences (weakly null sequences for $p = \infty$) to unconditionally p -summable sequences (norm null sequences for $p = \infty$). It should be mentioned that unconditionally p -converging operators coincide with the p -converging operators introduced by J. M. F. Castillo and F. Sánchez in [14] although their original definitions are different. In this paper, we use the terminology unconditionally p -converging operators instead of p -converging operators. Unconditionally 1-converging operators are precisely unconditionally converging operators. Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$. We set

$$uc_p(T) = \sup\{\limsup_n \|Tx_n\| : (x_n)_n \in l_p^w(X), \|(x_n)_n\|_p^w \leq 1\}.$$

An easy verification shows that $uc(T) = uc_1(T)$. Obviously, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is unconditionally p -converging if and only if $uc_p(T) = 0$.

The present paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we introduce a quantity $SS(\cdot)$ measuring strict singularity and a quantity $SS_{l_p}(\cdot)$ ($SS_{c_0}(\cdot)$) measuring l_p -strict singularity (c_0 -strict singularity) of an operator. First, we prove a quantitative version of implications among three classes of operators-compact, strictly singular and unconditionally converging operators. A classical result due to C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński [8](also see [1, Theorem 2.4.10]) is that for an operator with domain c_0 , compactness, weak compactness and strict singularity are all equivalent. Section 2 contains a quantitative version of this well-known result. In [38], A. Pełczyński showed that for an operator $T : C(K) \rightarrow X$ the properties of being weakly compact, unconditionally converging or strictly singular are equivalent. In this section, we give a quantitative version of this main result of [38] when K is dispersed.

Section 3 contains a quantity $SCS(\cdot)$ measuring strict cosingularity and a quantity $SCS_{l_p}(\cdot)$ ($SCS_{c_0}(\cdot)$) measuring l_p -strict cosingularity (c_0 -strict cosingularity) of an operator. We collect quantitative versions of basic relationships between strictly singular operators and strictly cosingular operators. It is elementary that every strictly singular operator on l_p or c_0 is compact. We give a stronger quantitative version of this fact and generalize it. The main result of [39] is that weak compactness and strict cosingularity are equivalent for operators with range space $L_1(\mu)$. In Theorem 3.8, we prove a quantitative version of this main result and strengthen it. Finally, we establish quantitative versions of equivalence between compact, strictly singular, l_2 -strictly singular, strictly cosingular and l_2 -strictly cosingular operators on the James space.

In order to discuss the connection between the strict singularity of an operator T and that of its adjoint T^* , R. J. Whitley [41] introduce the concept of subprojective spaces

and superprojective spaces. In [37], T. Oikhberg and E. Spinu introduced the notion of uniformly subprojective Banach spaces. For the sake of convenience, we refer to call them λ -subprojective Banach spaces. In Section 4, using the notion of λ -subprojective spaces, we prove a quantitative version of the main result [41, Theorem 2.2]. We introduce the notion of λ -superprojective spaces as in a sense dual to λ -subprojective spaces and prove a quantitative version of the duality of [41, Theorem 2.2].

Throughout this paper, all Banach spaces are infinite dimensional. An operator will always mean a bounded linear operator. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an *isomorphism* if it has a bounded inverse. If X is a Banach space, we denote by B_X its closed unit ball $\{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and by S_X its unit sphere $\{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$. For a subspace M of X , $M^\perp = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}$. For a subspace N of X^* , ${}^\perp N = \{x \in X : \langle x^*, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x^* \in N\}$. $J_X : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ denotes the canonical embedding. Our notation and terminology are standard and we refer the readers to [1] and [35] for any unexplained terms.

2. QUANTIFYING STRICTLY SINGULAR OPERATORS

For an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$, we define the following quantity:

$$SS(T) = \sup_{M \subseteq X, \dim M = \infty} \inf_{x \in S_M} \|Tx\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all infinite-dimensional subspaces of X . Obviously, $SS(T) = 0$ if and only if T is strictly singular.

Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and a Banach space Z . We set

$$SS_Z(T) = \sup\{(\|R\| \|(TR)^{-1}\|)^{-1} : R : Z \rightarrow X \text{ is an operator for which } TR \text{ is an isomorphism}\}.$$

If there are no such operators R 's, we set $SS_Z(T) = 0$. Hence $SS_Z(T) = 0$ if and only if $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is Z -strictly singular. Obviously, $SS_Z(T) \leq SS(T)$. A standard argument shows that $SS_{c_0}(T) = fix_{c_0}(T)$.

It is known that given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$, the following implication holds:

$$T \text{ is compact} \Rightarrow T \text{ is strictly singular} \Rightarrow T \text{ is unconditionally converging}$$

We quantify the above implication as in Theorem 2.1. The quantification means, roughly speaking, to replace implications between some notions by inequalities between certain quantities.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator. Then $SS_{c_0}(T) \leq SS(T) \leq 2\chi(T)$.*

Proof. We only prove $SS(T) \leq 2\chi(T)$. Let us fix any $0 < c < SS(T)$. By the definition of $SS(T)$, there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace M of X such that $\|Tx\| \geq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in M$. Since M is infinite-dimensional, there is a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in S_M such that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| > 1, \quad \forall n \neq m.$$

This implies that

$$\|Tx_n - Tx_m\| \geq c\|x_n - x_m\| > c, \quad \forall n \neq m.$$

Claim: $\widehat{d}(TB_X, F) \geq \frac{c}{2}$ for every finite subset F of Y .

Otherwise, if $\widehat{d}(TB_X, F) < \frac{c}{2}$, then there exist $y_0 \in F, x_m$ and $x_n (m \neq n)$ such that $\|Tx_m - y_0\|, \|Tx_n - y_0\| \leq \frac{c}{2}$. This yields that $\|Tx_n - Tx_m\| \leq c$, a contradiction.

By Claim, $\chi(T) \geq \frac{c}{2}$. Since c is arbitrary, we get the conclusion. □

Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$. We set

$$m(T) = \inf\{\|T|_M\| : M \subseteq X, \text{codim} M < \infty\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite-codimensional subspaces of X . It is known that $m(T) = \chi(T^*)$. We'll appeal several times to this fact in the sequel.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T : c_0 \rightarrow X$ be an operator. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega(T^*) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(T^*) \leq SS_{c_0}(T) \leq SS(T) \leq 2\chi(T).$$

Proof. $\omega(T^*) = \chi(T^*)$ follows from the Schur property. In view of Theorem 2.1, we only prove $\chi(T^*) \leq 2SS_{c_0}(T)$.

Suppose that $\chi(T^*) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < \chi(T^*)$. By induction on finite-codimensional subspaces of c_0 , we obtain a block basic sequence $(z_n)_n$ with respect to the unit vector basis $(e_n)_n$ of c_0 such that $\|z_n\| \leq 1$ and $\|Tz_n\| > c$ for all n . Let $\epsilon > 0$. By [1, Proposition 1.5.4], we may assume that $(Tz_n)_n$ is a basic sequence with basis constant $\leq 1 + \epsilon$. Define an operator $R : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ by $Re_n = z_n$ for each n . Then $\|R\| \leq 1$. For all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$

and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} c|a_k| &\leq \|a_k T z_k\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k a_j T z_j - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j T z_j \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k a_j T z_j \right\| + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j T z_j \right\| \\ &\leq 2(1 + \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j T z_j \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$(2.1) \quad c \sup_{1 \leq j \leq m} |a_j| \leq 2(1 + \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j T z_j \right\|.$$

By (2.1), the operator $TR : c_0 \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism and $\|(TR)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{c}{2(1+\epsilon)}$. By the definition of $SS_{c_0}(T)$, we get

$$SS_{c_0}(T) \geq \|R\|^{-1} \|(TR)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{c}{2(1+\epsilon)}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $SS_{c_0}(T) \geq \frac{c}{2}$. By the arbitrariness of c , we get $\chi(T^*) \leq 2SS_{c_0}(T)$. □

By interchanging the role of the domain space and the range space of operators in Theorem 2.2, we obtain a sharp result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T : X \rightarrow c_0$ be an operator. Then*

$$SS_{c_0}(T) = SS(T).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $SS(T) \leq SS_{c_0}(T)$. As usual, we assume that $SS(T) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < SS(T)$. Then there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace M of X such that $\|Tx\| \geq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in M$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By James's c_0 -distortion theorem, there is a sequence $(z_n)_n$ in B_{TM} such that

$$(2.2) \quad (1 - \epsilon) \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_k| \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z_k \right\| \leq \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_k|,$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define an operator $S : c_0 \rightarrow X$ by $Se_k = T^{-1}z_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). According to (2.2), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k T^{-1}z_k \right\| \leq \frac{1}{c} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z_k \right\| \leq \frac{1}{c} \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_k|,$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This yields $\|S\| \leq \frac{1}{c}$.

Moreover, the left side of inequality (2.2) implies that the operator $TS : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ is an isomorphism and $\|(TS)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq (1 - \epsilon)$. Thus, we get

$$SS_{c_0}(T) \geq (\|S\|)^{-1} \|(TS)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq c(1 - \epsilon).$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$SS_{c_0}(T) \geq c.$$

Since c is arbitrary, we finish the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. [15] *Let X be a Banach space and $(x_n)_n$ be a weakly null sequence in B_X . Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\|x_n\| > \epsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $\delta > 0$, there is a subsequence $(x_{k_n})_n$ of $(x_n)_n$ such that $\|x_{k_n} - x_{k_m}\| \geq \epsilon - \delta$ ($n \neq m, n, m = 1, 2, \dots$).*

Theorem 2.5. *Let K be a dispersed compact Hausdorff space and $T : C(K) \rightarrow X$ an operator. Then*

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \omega(T^*) \leq SS_{c_0}(T) \leq SS(T) \leq 2\omega(T^*).$$

In the real case the constant π can be replaced by 2.

Proof. We may assume that $\|T\| = 1$.

Step 1. $\omega(T^*) \leq 8\pi SS_{c_0}(T)$.

Let $A = T^*B_{X^*}$. Suppose that $\omega(A) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < \omega(A)$. According to [28, Proposition 5.2], there exist a sequence $(U_k)_k$ of pairwise disjoint open subsets of K and a sequence $(\mu_k)_k$ in A such that $\mu_k(U_k) > \frac{c}{\pi}$ for all k . Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the regularity of μ_k , there is a compact subset F_k of U_k such that $|\mu_k|(U_k \setminus F_k) < \epsilon$ for each k . For each k , by Urysohn's Lemma, there is a $f_k \in C(K)$ with $0 \leq f_k \leq 1$ such that $f_k = 1$ on F_k and $f_k = 0$ on $K \setminus U_k$. Since the sequence $(U_k)_k$ is pairwise disjoint, we get $\|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k f_k\| = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_k|$, for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define an operator $S : c_0 \rightarrow C(K)$ by $Se_k = f_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Then S is an isometric embedding. Claim: $\|TSe_k\| > \frac{c}{\pi} - \epsilon$ for each k .

Indeed, let $\mu_k = T^*y_k^*(y_k^* \in B_{Y^*})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|TSe_k\| &\geq | \langle y_k^*, TSe_k \rangle | \\
&= | \langle \mu_k, f_k \rangle | \\
&= \left| \int_{U_k} 1 d\mu_k - \int_{U_k} (1 - f_k) d\mu_k \right| \\
&> \frac{c}{\pi} - \left| \int_{U_k \setminus F_k} (1 - f_k) d\mu_k \right| \\
&\geq \frac{c}{\pi} - \int_{U_k \setminus F_k} 1 d|\mu_k| \\
&> \frac{c}{\pi} - \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that there is a subsequence $(TSe_{k_n})_n$ of $(TSe_k)_k$ such that $\|TSe_{k_n} - TSe_{k_m}\| \geq \frac{c}{\pi} - \epsilon - \delta$ for all $n \neq m$. This yields that $\chi(TS) \geq \frac{\frac{c}{\pi} - \epsilon - \delta}{2}$. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we get $\chi(TS) \geq \frac{\frac{c}{\pi} - \epsilon}{2}$. Theorem 2.2 and (1.2) ensure that

$$\frac{\frac{c}{\pi} - \epsilon}{4} \leq 2SS_{c_0}(TS) \leq 2SS_{c_0}(T).$$

By the arbitrariness of $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\frac{c}{4\pi} \leq 2SS_{c_0}(T)$. Since c is arbitrary, we conclude Step 1.

Step 2. $SS(T) \leq 2\omega(T^*)$.

Assume that $SS(T) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < SS(T)$. Then there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace M of $C(K)$ such that $\|Tf\| \geq c\|f\|$ for all $f \in M$. Since M is infinite-dimensional, there is a sequence $(f_n)_n$ in S_M such that

$$\|f_n - f_m\| > 1, \quad \forall n \neq m.$$

This implies that

$$\|Tf_n - Tf_m\| \geq c\|f_n - f_m\| > c, \quad \forall n \neq m.$$

Since K is dispersed, it follows from Main theorem in [40] that $C(K)$ contains no isomorphic copy of l_1 . By Rosenthal's l_1 -theorem, we may assume that the sequence $(f_n)_n$ is weakly Cauchy. Let $g_n = \frac{f_n - f_{n+1}}{2}$. Then $(g_n)_n$ is weakly null and $\|Tg_n\| \geq \frac{c}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again by [28, Proposition 5.2], we get $\frac{c}{2} \leq \omega(T^*)$. The arbitrariness of c yields the conclusion. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $T : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ an operator. Then*

$$SS(T) = \max\{SS_{l_p}(T), SS_{l_2}(T)\}.$$

Proof. Let $0 < c < SS(T)$. Then there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace M of L_p such that $\|Tf\| \geq c\|f\|$ for all $f \in M$.

Case 1. M is isomorphic to l_2 and complemented in L_p .

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By [22] (or [2, Theorem 1.3]), there exists an isomorphism $R : l_2 \rightarrow L_p$ such that $R(l_2) \subseteq M$ and $\|R\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$, $\|R^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}$. This yields that $\|TRz\| \geq c(1-\epsilon)\|z\|$ for each $z \in l_2$. Thus we get

$$SS_{l_2}(T) \geq \|R\|^{-1} \|(TR)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{c(1-\epsilon)}{1+\epsilon},$$

which implies $SS_{l_2}(T) \geq c$ since ϵ is arbitrary.

Case 2. For every $\epsilon > 0$, M contains a subspace N which is $(1+\epsilon)$ -complemented in L_p and satisfies $d(N, l_p) < 1 + \epsilon$. Take a surjective isomorphism $R : l_p \rightarrow N$ such that $\|R\| = 1$, $\|R^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$. Then $\|TRz\| \geq \frac{c}{(1+\epsilon)}\|z\|$ for $z \in l_p$. Thus

$$SS_{l_p}(T) \geq \|R\|^{-1} \|(TR)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{c}{1+\epsilon}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SS_{l_p}(T) \geq c$.

In both cases, we have $\max\{SS_{l_p}(T), SS_{l_2}(T)\} \geq c$. It follows that $SS(T) \leq \max\{SS_{l_p}(T), SS_{l_2}(T)\}$. The proof is completed. □

We'll need a quantitative version of the Bessaga-Pełczyński Selection Principle. More specifically, we need small uniform bounds on the equivalence constant and projection constant. Its proof is identical to the standard gliding hump arguments (see [1] or [16]).

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(x_n)_n$ be a basis for a Banach space X and $(x_n^*)_n$ be the sequence of coefficient functionals. If $(y_n)_n$ is a semi-normalized weakly null sequence in X , then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a subsequence $(y_{k_n})_n$ of $(y_n)_n$ and a (skipped) block basic sequence $(z_n)_n$ with respect to $(x_n)_n$ such that*

$$(1-\epsilon)\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i\right\| \leq \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_{k_i}\right\| \leq (1+\epsilon)\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i\right\|,$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If every semi-normalized (skipped) block basic sequence with respect to $(x_n)_n$ is C -complemented in X (where the constant C depends only on X), then $\overline{\text{span}}\{y_{k_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $C \cdot \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$ -complemented in X .

Finally, we give a quantitative version of [13, Theorem 25].

Theorem 2.8. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and $T : X \rightarrow L_p$ an operator. Then*

$$\frac{1}{4(p^* - 1)B_{p^*}} uc_2(T) \leq SS_{l_2}(T) \leq uc_2(T),$$

where B_{p^*} is the Khintchine's constant.

Proof. The second inequality of this theorem is straightforward. We only prove the first inequality.

Let $0 < c < uc_2(T)$. Then there exists a weakly 2-summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in X with $\|(x_n)_n\|_2^w \leq 1$ such that $\|Tx_n\| > c$ for all n . Let $\epsilon > 0$. By passing to subsequences, we may assume that $(Tx_n)_n$ is a basic sequence with the basis constant $\leq 1 + \epsilon$. Let $Y = \overline{\text{span}}\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $(y_n^*)_n$ be the biorthogonal functionals associated to $(Tx_n)_n$. Then $\|y_n^*\| \leq \frac{2(1+\epsilon)}{c}$ for all n . Let f_n be the norm-preserving extension of y_n^* to the whole space L_p . Set $K = \frac{2(1+\epsilon)}{c}$. Then there exists a metric d on $B_{L_{p^*}}(0, K)$ such that the *weak*^{*}-topology agrees with the d -topology. Thus all *weak*^{*}-cluster points of $(f_n)_n$ are in $F = B_{L_{p^*}}(0, K) \cap Y^\perp$. It is easy to see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f_n, F) = 0$. We pick a sequence $(g_n)_n$ in F such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f_n, g_n) = 0$. Let $u_n^* = f_n - g_n$. Then $(u_n^*)_n$ is weakly null, biorthogonal to $(Tx_n)_n$, $\|u_n^*\| \leq \frac{4(1+\epsilon)}{c}$ and $u_n^*|_Y = y_n^*$ for all n . Let $(h_n)_n$ be the Haar basis for L_{p^*} with the unconditional constant $p^* - 1$. According to Theorem 2.7, $(u_n^*)_n$ admits a subsequence, which is still denoted by $(u_n^*)_n$, and a block basic sequence $(z_n^*)_n$ with respect to $(h_n)_n$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad (1 - \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i^* \right\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i^* \right\|,$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By (2.3), we see that $\|z_i^*\| \leq \frac{4(1+\epsilon)}{c(1-\epsilon)}$ for all i . By inequality (1.9) in [3], we get

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i^* \right\| \leq (p^* - 1) B_{p^*} \frac{4(1+\epsilon)}{c(1-\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Combining (2.3) with (2.4), we get

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i^* \right\| \leq (p^* - 1) B_{p^*} \frac{4(1+\epsilon)^2}{c(1-\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since Y is reflexive, $(Tx_n)_n$ is shrinking and hence $(y_n^*)_n$ forms a basis for Y^* . Inequality (2.5) implies that the operator $R : l_2 \rightarrow Y^*$ defined by $Re_n = y_n^* (n \in \mathbb{N})$ is well-defined and $\|R\| \leq (p^* - 1) B_{p^*} \frac{4(1+\epsilon)^2}{c(1-\epsilon)}$. Taking the adjoint, we see that $R^*Tx_n = e_n$ for all n . Thus, for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (p^* - 1) B_{p^*} \frac{4(1+\epsilon)^2}{c(1-\epsilon)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Tx_i \right\|.$$

Define an operator $S : l_2 \rightarrow X$ by $Se_n = x_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$. Then $\|S\| = \|(x_n)_n\|_2^w \leq 1$. By this fact together with (2.6), we get

$$SS_{l_2}(T) \geq \|S\|^{-1} \|(TS)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{c(1-\epsilon)}{4(p^*-1)B_{p^*}(1+\epsilon)^2}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SS_{l_2}(T) \geq \frac{c}{4(p^*-1)B_{p^*}}$. Since c is arbitrary, we are done. $\square$

3. QUANTIFYING STRICTLY COSINGULAR OPERATORS

Given a surjective operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$. We set

$$\delta(T) = \sup\{\delta > 0 : \delta B_Y \subseteq TB_X\}.$$

Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$. We define a quantity as follows:

$$SCS(T) = \sup\{\delta(Q_N T) : N \text{ is an infinite-codimensional subspace of } Y \text{ such that } Q_N T \text{ is surjective}\}.$$

If there are no such subspaces N 's, we set $SCS(T) = 0$. Thus T is strictly cosingular if and only if $SCS(T) = 0$. A routine argument shows:

$$SCS(T) = \sup\left\{\frac{\delta(ST)}{\|S\|} : Z \text{ Banach space and operator } S : Y \rightarrow Z \text{ such that } ST \text{ is surjective}\right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all Banach spaces Z and all operators $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that ST is surjective.

Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and a Banach space Z . We set

$$SCS_Z(T) = \sup\left\{\frac{\delta(ST)}{\|S\|} : S : Y \rightarrow Z \text{ is an operator such that } ST \text{ is surjective}\right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all operators $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that ST is surjective. If there are no such operators S 's, we set $SCS_Z(T) = 0$. Thus T is Z -strictly cosingular if and only if $SCS_Z(T) = 0$.

For an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$, the following implication holds:

$$T \text{ is compact} \Rightarrow T \text{ is strictly cosingular}$$

We quantify this implication as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator. Then $SCS(T) \leq 2\chi(T^*)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $SCS(T) > 0$ and fix arbitrary $0 < c < SCS(T)$. Then there is an infinite-codimensional subspace N of Y such that $c \cdot B_{Y/N} \subseteq Q_N T B_X$. This implies that $\|T^* y^*\| \geq c \|y^*\|$ for all $y^* \in N^\perp$. Since $N^\perp$ is infinite-dimensional, there exists a sequence $(y_n^*)_n$ in $S_{N^\perp}$ such that $\|y_m^* - y_n^*\| > 1$ for each $m \neq n$. Therefore, for each $m \neq n$,

$\|T^*y_m^* - T^*y_n^*\| \geq c\|y_m^* - y_n^*\| > c$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get $\chi(T^*) \geq \frac{c}{2}$. By the arbitrariness of c , the conclusion follows. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator. Then*

- (1) $SCS(T) \leq SS(T^*)$;
- (2) $SS(T) \leq SCS(T^*)$.

Proof. (1). Suppose that $SCS(T) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < SCS(T)$. Then there is an infinite-codimensional subspace N of Y such that $c \cdot B_{Y/N} \subseteq Q_N T B_X$. This yields that $\|T^*y^*\| \geq c\|y^*\|$ for all $y^* \in N^\perp$. Thus $c \leq SS(T^*)$. The arbitrariness of c concludes the proof.

(2). Assume that $SS(T) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < SS(T)$. Then there is an infinite-dimensional subspace M of X such that $\|Tx\| \geq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in M$. It is easy to verify that $c \cdot B_{M^*} \subseteq i_M^* T^* B_{Y^*}$, where $i_M : M \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map. Therefore $c \cdot B_{X^*/M^\perp} \subseteq Q_{M^\perp} T^* B_{Y^*}$, which yields that $c \leq SCS(T^*)$. Since c is arbitrary, we get the conclusion. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator and X be reflexive. Then*

- (1) $SCS(T) = SS(T^*)$;
- (2) $SS(T) = SCS(T^*)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $X = l_p (1 < p < \infty)$ or c_0 and $T : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. Then*

- (1) $\chi(T^*) \leq SS_X(T) \leq SS(T) \leq 2\chi(T)$;
- (2) $\chi(T^*) \leq SCS_X(T) \leq SCS(T) \leq 2\chi(T^*)$.

Proof. Fix arbitrary number $c < \chi(T^*)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a block basic sequence $(x_n)_n$ with respect to the unit vector basis of X such that $\|x_n\| \leq 1$ and $\|Tx_n\| \geq c - \epsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 2.7, there exist a subsequence $(x_{k_n})_n$ of $(x_n)_n$ and a block basic sequence $(z_n)_n$ with respect to the unit vector basis $(e_n)_n$ of X such that

$$(3.1) \quad (1 - \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T x_{k_i} \right\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i \right\|,$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\overline{\text{span}}\{Tx_{k_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$ -complemented in X .

(1). Define an operator $S : X \rightarrow X$ by $Se_n = x_{k_n}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $\|S\| \leq 1$. By (3.1), we get $\|z_n\| \geq \frac{c-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again by (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T x_{k_i} \right\| \geq (1-\epsilon) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i \right\| \geq (1-\epsilon) \frac{c-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right\|,$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Inequality (3.2) implies that the operator $TS : X \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism and $\|(TS)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq (1-\epsilon) \frac{c-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$. Thus

$$SS_X(T) \geq \|S\|^{-1} \|(TS)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq (1-\epsilon) \frac{c-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SS_X(T) \geq c$. The arbitrariness of c yields $\chi(T^*) \leq SS_X(T)$.

(2). Define an operator $U : \overline{\text{span}}\{Tx_{k_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow X$ by $UTx_{k_n} = e_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). By (3.1), we get $\|U\| \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{(1-\epsilon)(c-\epsilon)}$. Let $R = UP$, where P is a projection from X onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{Tx_{k_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $\|P\| \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$. By the definition of R , it is easy to verify that $B_X \subseteq RTB_X$. Thus

$$SCS_X(T) \geq \frac{\delta(RT)}{\|R\|} \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2(c-\epsilon)}{(1+\epsilon)^2}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SCS_X(T) \geq c$. Since c is arbitrary, we get $\chi(T^*) \leq SCS_X(T)$. $\square$

For $p = 1$, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T : X \rightarrow l_1$ be an operator. Then*

- (1) $\frac{1}{2}\chi(T) \leq SS_{l_1}(T) \leq SS(T) \leq 2\chi(T)$;
- (2) $\frac{1}{2}\chi(T) \leq SCS_{l_1}(T) \leq SCS(T) \leq 2\chi(T^*)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\chi(T) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < \chi(T)$. Then there is a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in B_X such that $\|Tx_n - Tx_m\| > c$ for all $n \neq m$. By passing to subsequences, we may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Tx_n)(k)$ exists for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\eta > 0$ and $(\epsilon_n)_n$ be a sequence of positive numbers. We can choose two increasing sequences $(p_i)_i, (q_i)_i$ of natural numbers such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{q_i} |(Ty_i)(k)| + \sum_{k=q_{i+1}+1}^{\infty} |(Ty_i)(k)| < \eta\epsilon_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $y_i = x_{p_{2i}} - x_{p_{2i+1}}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$).

Let $z_i = \sum_{k=q_i+1}^{q_{i+1}} (Ty_i)(k)e_k$. It follows from (3.3) that $\|Ty_i - z_i\| < \eta\epsilon_i$ for all i .

(1). Take $\eta = \frac{c}{2}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We set $\epsilon_n = \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} (n = 1, 2, \dots)$. Then, for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T y_i \right\| &\geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i \right\| - \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \|T y_i - z_i\| \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \left(c - \frac{c}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{2^i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \frac{c}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{2^i} \\ &= c \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2^i} \right) |a_i| \\ &\geq c(1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Define an operator $R : l_1 \rightarrow X$ by $R e_n = y_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$. Then $\|R\| \leq 2$. Moreover, the operator $TR : l_1 \rightarrow l_1$ is an isomorphism and $\|(TR)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq c(1 - \epsilon)$. This together with $\|R\| \leq 2$ yields $SS_{l_1}(T) \geq \frac{c(1-\epsilon)}{2}$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SS_{l_1}(T) \geq \frac{c}{2}$. Since c is arbitrary, we get $\frac{\chi(T)}{2} \leq SS_{l_1}(T)$.

(2). Take $\eta = c$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We set $\epsilon_n = \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \frac{2^n}{c}} (n = 1, 2, \dots)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $(\eta_k)_{k=q_i+1}^{q_{i+1}}$ with $\sup_{q_i+1 \leq k \leq q_{i+1}} |\eta_k| = 1$ such that

$$\sum_{k=q_i+1}^{q_{i+1}} \eta_k (T y_i)(k) = \sum_{k=q_i+1}^{q_{i+1}} |(T y_i)(k)| = \|z_i\|.$$

Set $z_i^* = \sum_{k=q_i+1}^{q_{i+1}} \lambda_k e_k^*$, where $\lambda_k = \frac{\eta_k}{\|z_i\|}$ and $(e_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty$ is the unit vector basis of c_0 . Then $(z_i^*)_i$ is the coefficient functionals of $(z_i)_i$ and $\|z_i^*\| = \frac{1}{\|z_i\|} (i \in \mathbb{N})$. Let P be a norm one projection from l_1 onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{z_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. An easy computation shows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|z_i^*\| \|T y_i - z_i\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta \epsilon_i}{c - \eta \epsilon_i} = \epsilon.$$

Define an operator $R : l_1 \rightarrow l_1$ by

$$R x = x - P x + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle z_i^*, P x \rangle T y_i, \quad x \in l_1.$$

Then $\|R - I_{l_1}\| \leq \epsilon$ and hence R^{-1} exists with $\|R^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}$. Let $Q = R P R^{-1}$. Then Q is a projection from l_1 onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{T y_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. An argument similar to (1) shows that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T y_i \right\| \geq c(1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|,$$

for all scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define operators $U : \overline{\text{span}}\{Ty_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow l_1$ by $UTy_i = e_i (i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $S : l_1 \rightarrow l_1$ by $S = UQ$. Thus

$$\|S\| \leq \|U\|\|Q\| \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{c(1-\epsilon)^2}.$$

By the definition of S , we get $B_{l_1} \subseteq 2STB_X$. Finally, we have

$$SCS_{l_1}(T) \geq \frac{\delta(ST)}{\|S\|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{c(1-\epsilon)^2}{1+\epsilon}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SCS_{l_1}(T) \geq \frac{c}{2}$. The arbitrariness of c concludes (2). $\square$

The following theorem is a quantitative version of [39, Proposition 1].

Theorem 3.6. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator. Then*

$$SCS_{l_1}(T) \leq SS_{c_0}(T^*) \leq 8SCS_{l_1}(T).$$

Proof. Step 1. $SCS_{l_1}(T) \leq SS_{c_0}(T^*)$.

Fix any $0 < c < SCS_{l_1}(T)$. Then there is an operator $S : Y \rightarrow l_1$ such that $\|S\| = 1$ and $c \cdot B_{l_1} \subseteq STB_X$. Thus $\|T^*S^*z\| \geq c\|z\|$, for every $z \in c_0$. This yields

$$SS_{c_0}(T^*) \geq \|S^*|_{c_0}\|^{-1} \|(T^*S^*|_{c_0})^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq c.$$

It follows from the arbitrariness of c that $SCS_{l_1}(T) \leq SS_{c_0}(T^*)$.

Step 2. $SS_{c_0}(T^*) \leq 8SCS_{l_1}(T)$.

Let $0 < c < SS_{c_0}(T^*)$ be arbitrary. It suffices to show that $c \leq 8SCS_{l_1}(T)$. Then there is an operator $V : c_0 \rightarrow Y^*$ with $\|V\| = 1$ such that $\|T^*Vz\| \geq c\|z\|$ for all $z \in c_0$. An argument similar to Theorem 2.1 shows that $\chi(T^*V) \geq \frac{c}{2}$. Let $U = V^*J_Y T : X \rightarrow l_1$. It is easy to check that $U^*|_{c_0} = T^*V$. Thus, by (1.2), we have

$$\chi(U) \geq \frac{1}{2}\chi(U^*) \geq \frac{1}{2}\chi(T^*V) \geq \frac{c}{4}.$$

Let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ are arbitrary. Applying the argument of Theorem 3.5 (2) to $\eta = \frac{c}{4} - \epsilon$, we get an operator $S : l_1 \rightarrow l_1$ such that $\|S\| \leq \frac{1+\delta}{(\frac{c}{4}-\epsilon)(1-\delta)^2}$ and $B_{l_1} \subseteq 2SUB_X$. Let $R = SV^*J_Y : Y \rightarrow l_1$. Then $RT = SU$, $\|R\| \leq \|S\|$ and hence

$$SCS_{l_1}(T) \geq \frac{\delta(RT)}{\|R\|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\frac{c}{4}-\epsilon)(1-\delta)^2}{1+\delta}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get $SCS_{l_1}(T) \geq \frac{c}{8}$. The proof is completed. $\square$

We need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.7. [15] *Let X be a closed subspace of a Banach space Y and let A be a bounded subset of X . Then*

$$wk_Y(A) \leq wk_X(A) \leq 2wk_Y(A).$$

It is worth mentioning that the constant 2 in the right inequality of Lemma 3.7 is optimal. Indeed, let $X = c_0$, $Y = l_\infty$ and A be the summing basis of c_0 . It is easy to check that $wk_X(A) = 1$ and $wk_Y(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $T : X \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ (μ finite measure) be an operator. Then*

- (1) $SCS_{l_1}(T) \leq \omega(T) = wk_{L_1}(T) \leq 16SCS_{l_1}(T)$;
- (2) $wk_{L_1}(T) \leq SS_{l_1}(T) \leq \sqrt[3]{2\|T\|^2 wk_{L_1}(T)}$.

Proof. (1) is a combination of [34, Theorem 4.5], Theorem 3.6 and inequality (1.4).

(2). Step 1. $SS_{l_1}(T) \leq \sqrt[3]{2\|T\|^2 wk_{L_1}(T)}$.

Fix any $0 < c < SS_{l_1}(T)$. Then there is an operator $R : l_1 \rightarrow X$ with $\|R\| = 1$ such that $\|TRz\| \geq c\|z\|$ for all $z \in l_1$. Let $\epsilon > wk_{L_1}(TB_X)$ be arbitrary. Set $M = R(l_1)$. Then $\|Tm\| \geq c\|m\|$ for all $m \in M$. This yields $B_{TM} \subseteq \frac{1}{c}TB_M$ and hence

$$wk_{L_1}(B_{TM}) \leq \frac{1}{c}wk_{L_1}(TB_M) \leq \frac{1}{c}wk_{L_1}(TB_X) < \frac{\epsilon}{c}.$$

By Lemma 3.7, $wk_{TM}(B_{TM}) \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{c}$. It follows from the definition of quantity $wk(\cdot)$ that

$$wk_M(B_M) \leq \|T|_M\| \|(T|_M)^{-1}\| wk_{TM}(B_{TM}) \leq \|T\| \frac{2\epsilon}{c^2}.$$

This implies

$$wk_{l_1}(B_{l_1}) \leq wk_M(B_M) \|R\| \|R^{-1}\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon \|T\|^2}{c^3}.$$

It follows from [25, Proposition 7.3] that $wk_{l_1}(B_{l_1}) = 1$. Thus $c^3 \leq 2\epsilon \|T\|^2$. Since $\epsilon > wk_{L_1}(TB_X)$ is arbitrary, we get $c \leq \sqrt[3]{2\|T\|^2 wk_{L_1}(T)}$.

Step 2. $wk_{L_1}(T) \leq SS_{l_1}(T)$.

We use the technique of [1, Theorem 5.2.9]. Let $K = TB_X$. Let $0 < c < wk_{L_1}(T) = wk_{L_1}(K)$. By [25, Proposition 7.1], there are a sequence $(f_k)_k$ in K and a sequence $(E_k)_k$ of measurable subsets with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_k) = 0$ such that $\int_{E_k} |f_k| d\mu > c$ for all k . By [1, Lemma 5.2.8], by passing to subsequences if necessary, we obtain a sequence $(A_k)_k$ of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets such that $(f_k \chi_{B_k})_k$ is uniformly integrable, where $B_k = \Omega \setminus A_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Since $(f_k \chi_{B_k})_k$ is uniformly integrable and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_k) = 0$, we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_k \cap B_k} |f_k| d\mu = 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. By passing to subsequences again, we may assume that $\int_{E_k \cap A_k} |f_k| d\mu > c - \delta$ for all k . Let $\alpha_k = \int_{A_k} |f_k| d\mu$ and $g_k = \alpha_k^{-1} f_k \chi_{A_k}$.

For each k , define $h_k \in L_\infty(\mu)$ by

$$h_k(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{g_k(\omega)}}{|g_k(\omega)|} & , \quad |g_k(\omega)| > 0 \\ 0 & , \quad otherwise \end{cases}$$

Since the sequence $(A_k)_k$ is pairwise disjoint, $(h_k)_k$ and $(g_k)_k$ are biorthogonal. Since $(f_k \chi_{B_k})_k$ is uniformly integrable and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_k) = 0$, we may assume that, by passing to subsequences, $\int_{A_n \cap B_m} |f_m| d\mu < \frac{\delta}{2^n}$ for all m, n . Define operators

$$S : L_1 \rightarrow l_1, f = (< h_n, f >)_n, \quad f \in L_1,$$

and

$$R : l_1 \rightarrow L_1, (b_k)_k \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \alpha_k^{-1} f_k, \quad (b_k)_k \in l_1.$$

Then $\|S\| \leq 1$ and $\|R\| \leq \frac{\|T\|}{c-\delta}$. Since $(h_k)_k$ and $(g_k)_k$ are biorthogonal, we get

$$SRe_k - e_k = (\alpha_k^{-1} \int_{A_n \cap B_k} f_k h_n d\mu)_n, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|SRe_k - e_k\| &= \alpha_k^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{A_n \cap B_k} f_k h_n d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \alpha_k^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n \cap B_k} |f_k| d\mu \\ &\leq \alpha_k^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta}{2^n} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{c - \delta}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\|SR - I_{l_1}\| \leq \frac{\delta}{c-\delta}$ and $(SR)^{-1}$ exists. Let $U = (SR)^{-1}$. Then $\|U\| \leq \frac{c-\delta}{c-2\delta}$. For all scalars $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n |b_k| &= \|USR(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k e_k)\| \\ &= \|US(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k \alpha_k^{-1} f_k)\| \\ &\leq \frac{c-\delta}{c-2\delta} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \alpha_k^{-1} f_k \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all scalars $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n b_k f_k \right\| \geq (c - 2\delta) \sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|.$$

Let $f_k = Tx_k$ ($x_k \in B_X$) for each k . Define an operator $V : l_1 \rightarrow X$ by $Ve_k = x_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Finally,

$$SS_{l_1}(T) \geq \|V\|^{-1} \|(TV)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq c - 2\delta.$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get $SS_{l_1}(T) \geq c$. The proof is completed. $\square$

Recall that the James space J is the (real) Banach space of all sequences $(a_n)_n$ of real numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ and

$$\|(a_n)_n\|_{qv} = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |a_{i_{j-1}} - a_{i_j}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : 1 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_m, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} < \infty.$$

The sequence $(e_n)_n$ of standard unit vectors forms a monotone shrinking basis for J . We denote the coefficient functionals of $(e_n)_n$ by $(e_n^*)_n$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $T : J \rightarrow J$ be an operator. Then*

- (1) $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}}\chi(T^*) \leq SS_{l_2}(T) \leq SS(T) \leq 2\chi(T)$;
- (2) $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{10}}\chi(T^*) \leq SCSS_{l_2}(T) \leq SCSS(T) \leq 2\chi(T^*)$.

Proof. Let $0 < c < \chi(T^*)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By induction on finite-codimensional subspaces of J , we obtain a sequence of $(k_n)_{n \geq 0}, k_n - k_{n-1} > 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and a sequence $(x_n)_n \in B_J$ such that $\|Tx_n\|_{qv} > c$ and $\|Tx_n - Tu_n\|_{qv} < \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}$ for each n , where $u_n = \sum_{k=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n-1} e_k^*, x_n > e_k$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Since $(e_n)_n$ is monotone and $(x_n)_n \in B_J$, we get $\|u_n\|_{qv} \leq 2$ for each n . It follows from [1, Proposition 3.4.3] that

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m b_n u_n \right\|_{qv} \leq 2\sqrt{5} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |b_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all scalars $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Theorem 2.7 and [10, Theorem 10], there exist a subsequence of $(u_n)_n$, which is still denoted by $(u_n)_n$, and a skipped block basic sequence $(z_n)_n$ of $(e_n)_n$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad (1 - \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m b_n z_n \right\|_{qv} \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m b_n T u_n \right\|_{qv} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m b_n z_n \right\|_{qv}.$$

Moreover, $\overline{\text{span}}\{Tu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $2\sqrt{2}\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$ -complemented in J .

The right side of inequality (3.5) yields that $\|z_n\|_{qv} \geq \frac{c-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$ for all n . The proof of [23, Lemma 1] implies that

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m b_n z_n \right\|_{qv} \geq \frac{c-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |b_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all scalars $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m b_n T u_n \right\|_{qv} \geq (1 - \epsilon) \frac{c - \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |b_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all scalars $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1). Define an operator $R : l_2 \rightarrow J$ by $R((b_n)_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n u_n$, $(b_n)_n \in l_2$. Thus (3.4) gives that $\|R\| \leq 2\sqrt{5}$. We deduce from (3.7) that

$$SS_{l_2}(T) \geq \|R\|^{-1} \|(TR)^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} (1 - \epsilon) \frac{c - \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SS_{l_2}(T) \geq \frac{c}{2\sqrt{5}}$ and prove (1).

(2). Let P be a projection from J onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{Tu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $\|P\| \leq 2\sqrt{2} \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$. Define an operator $S : \overline{\text{span}}\{Tu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow l_2$ by

$$S\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n T u_n\right) = (b_n)_n, \quad (b_n)_n \in l_2$$

Inequalities (3.5) and (3.7) ensure that S is well-defined and $\|S\| \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{(1-\epsilon)(c-\epsilon)}$. Let $R = SP : J \rightarrow l_2$. It follows from (3.4) and the definition of R that $B_{l_2} \subseteq 2\sqrt{5}RTB_J$. Thus

$$SCS_{l_2}(T) \geq \frac{\delta(RT)}{\|R\|} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2(c-\epsilon)}{2\sqrt{2}(1+\epsilon)^2}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $SCS_{l_2}(T) \geq \frac{c}{4\sqrt{10}}$ and prove (2). □

4. λ -SUBPROJECTIVE SPACES AND λ -SUPERPROJECTIVE SPACES

Definition 4.1. [37] Let $\lambda \geq 1$. We say that a Banach space X is λ -subprojective if every infinite-dimensional subspace of X contains an infinite-dimensional subspace that is λ -complemented in X .

Theorem 4.1. Let Y be λ -subprojective and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ an operator. Then

$$SS(T) \leq \lambda SS(T^*).$$

Proof. We may assume that $SS(T) > 0$ and fix any $0 < c < SS(T)$. Then there is an infinite-dimensional subspace M of X such that $\|Tx\| \geq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in M$. Since Y is λ -subprojective, there exist an infinite-dimensional subspace $N \subseteq TM$ and a projection P from Y onto N with $\|P\| \leq \lambda$. We write N as TX_0 , $X_0 \subseteq M$. Then P^* is a surjective isomorphism from N^* onto $(\text{Ker}(P))^{\perp}$ and $P^*f|_N = f$ for each $f \in N^*$.

Claim: $\|T^*y^*\| \geq \frac{c}{\lambda}\|y^*\|$ for each $y^* \in (\text{Ker}(P))^{\perp}$.

Indeed, for $y^* \in (Ker(P))^\perp$, $y^* = P^*f$, $f \in N^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^*y^*\| &= \|T^*P^*f\| = \sup_{x \in B_X} | \langle T^*P^*f, x \rangle | \\
&\geq \sup_{x \in B_{X_0}} | \langle P^*f, Tx \rangle | \\
&= \sup_{x \in B_{X_0}} | \langle f, Tx \rangle | \\
&\geq \sup_{y \in c \cdot B_N} | \langle f, y \rangle | \\
&= c\|f\| \geq \frac{c}{\lambda} \|P^*f\| = \frac{c}{\lambda} \|y^*\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Claim, we get $SS(T^*) \geq \frac{c}{\lambda}$. The proof is completed due to the arbitrariness of c . $\square$

We list some of known λ -subprojective spaces:

- $l_p(1 \leq p < \infty)$, c_0 are λ -subprojective for any $\lambda > 1$.
- $L_p(2 < p < \infty)$ is C_p -subprojective, where the constant C_p depends only on p .

More precisely, if X is an infinite-dimensional subspace of $L_p(2 < p < \infty)$, then either for every $\epsilon > 0$, X contains an infinite-dimensional subspace that is $(1 + \epsilon)\gamma_p$ -complemented in L_p , where γ_p is the norm of a symmetric Gaussian random variable(see [22]), or for every $\epsilon > 0$, X contains an infinite-dimensional subspace that is $(1 + \epsilon)$ -complemented in L_p (see [26]).

- The Tsirelson space T is λ -subprojective for any $\lambda > 54$ (see [11]).
- The Lorentz sequence spaces $d(w, p)$ are λ -subprojective for any $\lambda > 1$ (see [35, Proposition 4.e.3]).
- Every c_0 -saturated separable space is 2λ -subprojective for any $\lambda > 1$.

Recall that a Banach space X is said to be c_0 -saturated if every infinite-dimensional subspace of X contains an isomorphic copy of c_0 . For example, $C(K)$ (K countable compact space)(see [17]), $C(\alpha)$ (α countable ordinal)(see [40]), the quotient of the Schreier space(see [36]), the injective tensor product of JH and JH (JH the James Hagler space)(see [33]), $c_0 \widehat{\otimes} c_0$ (see [20]), the projective tensor product of two $C(K)$ -spaces (K infinite countable compact metric space)(see [20]), $C(\alpha) \widehat{\otimes} C(\beta)$ ($\omega < \alpha, \beta < \omega_1$)(see [20]) are all c_0 -saturated.

- The James space J with the quadratic variation norm is λ -subprojective for any $\lambda > 2\sqrt{2}$ (see [10]).

Corollary 4.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$SS(T) = SS(T^*),$$

for any operator $T : l_p \rightarrow l_p$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\lambda \geq 1$. We say that a Banach space X is λ -superprojective if, given any subspace M of X with infinite codimension, there is a subspace N containing M such that N has infinite codimension and is λ -complemented in X .

Theorem 4.3. Let X be a reflexive Banach space and $\lambda \geq 1$. Then

- (1) If X is λ -subprojective, then X^* is $(1 + \lambda)$ -superprojective;
- (2) If X^* is λ -superprojective, then X is $(1 + \lambda)$ -subprojective.

Proof. (1). Let M be a subspace of X^* with infinite codimension. Since X is reflexive, ${}^\perp M$ is an infinite-dimensional subspace of X . By the assumption, there exist an infinite-dimensional subspace N of ${}^\perp M$ and a projection P from X onto N with $\|P\| \leq \lambda$. Then $I_{X^*} - P^*$ is a projection from X^* onto $N^\perp \supseteq M$. Hence $N^\perp$ is $(1 + \lambda)$ -complemented in X^* , has infinite codimension and contains M .

(2). Let M be an infinite-dimensional subspace of X . Since X^* is λ -superprojective, there exist a subspace N of X^* with infinite codimension, $N \supseteq M^\perp$ and a projection P from X^* onto N with $\|P\| \leq \lambda$. Since X is reflexive, $P = Q^*$, where $Q : X \rightarrow X$ is a projection. It is easy to verify that $I_X - Q$ is a projection from X onto ${}^\perp N$. Hence ${}^\perp N$ is $(1 + \lambda)$ -complemented in X , infinite-dimensional and is contained in M .

□

Theorem 4.4. Let X be λ -superprojective and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ an operator. Then

$$SCS(T) \leq (1 + \lambda)SCS(T^*).$$

Proof. Suppose that $SCS(T) > 0$. Given arbitrary $0 < c < SCS(T)$. Then there is an infinite-codimensional subspace N of Y such that $c \cdot B_{Y/N} \subseteq Q_N T B_X$. Since $\text{Ker}(Q_N T) = T^{-1}(N)$ is infinite-codimensional, there exist an infinite-codimensional subspace M of X such that $T^{-1}(N) \subseteq M$ and a projection P from X onto M with $\|P\| \leq \lambda$. Since M is infinite-codimensional, $P^* X^*$ is also infinite-codimensional.

Claim: $B_{X^*/P^* X^*} \subseteq \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1+\lambda)}{c} \cdot Q_{P^* X^*} T^* B_{Y^*}$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Let us fix any $\varphi \in B_{X^*/P^* X^*}$. Then there exists $x^* \in X^*$ such that $Q_{P^* X^*} x^* = \varphi$ and $\|x^*\| < \|\varphi\| + \epsilon$. We define $y^* \in Y^*$ by

$$\langle y^*, y \rangle = \langle x^*, (I - P)x \rangle, \quad y \in Y, \text{ where } y - Tx \in N.$$

Then y^* is well-defined, linear and $\|y^*\| \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1+\lambda)}{c}$. In fact, for $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $Q_N y = Q_N T x$ and $\|x\| \leq \frac{\|Q_N y\|}{c} \leq \frac{\|y\|}{c}$. Thus $y - T x \in N$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle y^*, y \rangle| &= |\langle x^*, (I - P)x \rangle| \\ &\leq \|x^*\|(1 + \lambda)\|x\| \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)(1 + \lambda)\|x\| \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)(1 + \lambda)\frac{\|y\|}{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|y^*\| \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1+\lambda)}{c}$.

It remains to prove that $\varphi = Q_{P^*X^*}x^* = Q_{P^*X^*}T^*y^*$. Indeed, by the definition of y^* , we get

$$\langle y^*, T x \rangle = \langle x^*, (I - P)x \rangle, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

This yields that $T^*y^* = (I_{X^*} - P^*)x^*$. Thus $(I_{X^*} - P^*)T^*y^* = (I_{X^*} - P^*)x^*$, namely, $Q_{P^*X^*}x^* = Q_{P^*X^*}T^*y^*$.

By Claim, we get $\frac{c}{(1+\epsilon)(1+\lambda)} \leq SCS(T^*)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. This means that $\frac{c}{(1+\lambda)} \leq SCS(T^*)$. By the arbitrariness of c , we get the conclusion. $\square$

Acknowledgements. This work is done during the second author's visit to Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University. We are grateful to Professor W. B. Johnson for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Springer, 2005.
- [2] D. Alspach, *Good l_2 -subspaces of L_p , $p > 2$* , Banach J. Math. Anal. **3**(2009), 49-54.
- [3] D. Alspach and O. Edell, *L_p spaces*, In: Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 1, W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, eds, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 123-159(2001).
- [4] A. Andrew, *James' quasi-reflexive space is not isomorphic to any subspace of its dual*, Israel J. Math. **38**(1981), 276-282.
- [5] C. Angosto and B. Cascales, *Measures of weak non-compactness in Banach spaces*, Topology Appl. **156**(2009), 1412-1421.
- [6] H. Bendová, *Quantitative Grothendieck property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412**(2014), 1097-1104.
- [7] H. Bendová, O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *Quantification of the Banach-Saks property*, J. Funct. Anal. **268**(2015), 1733-1754.
- [8] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **17**(1958), 151-164.
- [9] J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen, *A class of special L_∞ spaces*, Acta Math. **145**(1980), no.3-4, 155-176.
- [10] P. G. Casazza, Bor-Luh Lin and R. H. Lohman, *On James' quasi-reflexive Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **67**(1977), 265-271.
- [11] P. G. Casazza and T. J. Shura, *Tsirelson's space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1363, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

- [12] B. Cascales, O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *A quantitative version of James' compactness theorem*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **55**(2012), 369-386.
- [13] J. M. F. Castillo, *On Banach spaces X such that $L(L_p, X) = K(L_p, X)$* , Extracta Math. **10**(1995), 27-36.
- [14] J. M. F. Castillo and F. Sánchez, *Dunford-Pettis-like properties of continuous vector function spaces*, Revista Matematica. **6**(1993), 43-59.
- [15] D. Chen, J. Alejandro Chávez-Domínguez and L. Li, *Unconditionally p -converging operators and Dunford-Pettis Property of order p* , arXiv: 1607.02161.
- [16] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [17] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, J. Pelant and V. Zizler, *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [18] M. Fabian, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos and V. Zizler, *A quantitative version of Krein's theorem*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. **21**(2005), 237-248.
- [19] T. Figiel, N. Ghoussoub and W. B. Johnson, *On the structure of non-weakly compact operators on Banach lattices*, Math. Ann. **257**(1981), 317-334.
- [20] E. M. Galego and C. Samuel, *The subprojectivity of the projective tensor product of two $C(K)$ spaces with $|K| = \aleph_0$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144**(2016), 2611-2617.
- [21] L. S. Gol'denšteín and A. S. Markus, *On the measure of non-compactness of bounded sets and of linear operators*, in: Studies in Algebra and Math. Anal., Izdat. Karta Moldovenjaske, Kishinev, 1965, pp.45-54(in Russian).
- [22] R. Haydon, E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht, *Small subspaces of L_p* , Ann. Math. **173**(2011), 169-209.
- [23] R. Herman and R. Whitley, *An example concerning reflexivity*, Studia Math. **28**(1967), 289-294.
- [24] W.B.Johnson and M.Zippin, *Separable L_1 -preduals are quotients of $C(\Delta)$* , Israel J. Math. **16**(1973), 198-202.
- [25] M. Kačena, O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *Quantitative Dunford-Pettis property*, Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 488-527.
- [26] M. I. Kadec and A. Pelczyński, *Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the spaces L_p* , Studia Math. **21** (1962), 161-176.
- [27] O. F. K. Kalenda, H. Pfitzner and J. Spurný, *On quantification of weak sequential completeness*, J. Funct. Anal. **260**(2011), 2986-2996.
- [28] O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *Quantification of the reciprocal Dunford-Pettis property*, Studia Math. **210**(2012), 261-278.
- [29] O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *On a difference between quantitative weak sequential completeness and the quantitative Schur property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140**(2012), 3435-3444.
- [30] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for nullity deficiency and other quantities of linear operators*, **6**(1958), 273-322.
- [31] H. Krulišová, *Quantification of Pelczyński's property (V)*, arXiv:1509.06610v1.
- [32] H. Krulišová, *C^* -algebras have a quantitative version of Pelczyński's property (V)*, arXiv:1605.04900v2.
- [33] Denny H. Leung, *Some stabilities of c_0 -saturated spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **118**(1995), 287-301.
- [34] L.Li, D. Chen and J. Alejandro Chávez-Domínguez, *Pelczyński's property (V^*) of order p and its quantification*, arXiv:1607.02163.
- [35] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I, Sequence Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [36] E. Odell, *On quotients of Banach spaces having shrinking unconditional bases*, Illinois J. Math. **36**(1992), 681-695.
- [37] T. Oikhberg and E. Spinu, *Subprojective Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **424**(2015), 613-635.
- [38] A. Pelczyński, *On strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators. I. Strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators in $C(S)$ -spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **13**(1965), 31-36.
- [39] A. Pelczyński, *On strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators. II. Strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators in $L(\nu)$ -spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **13**(1965), 37-41.
- [40] A. Pelczyński and Z. Semadeni, *Spaces of continuous functions. III. Spaces $C(\Omega)$ for Ω without perfect subsets*, Studia Math. **18**(1959), 211-222.
- [41] R. J. Whitley, *Strictly singular operators and their conjugates*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113**(1964), 252-261.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, 300071, CHINA
E-mail address: `leilee@nankai.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN, 361005, CHINA
E-mail address: `cdy@xmu.edu.cn`